

FORM PTO-1390 (REV 10-96)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">01-515</div>	
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371				U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5) <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; font-size: 1.2em;">09/914335</div>	
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/FR00/00311		INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE February 9, 2000		PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED February 26, 1999	
TITLE OF INVENTION SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MEASURING THE TRANSFER DURATIONS AND LOSS RATES IN HIGH...					
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US THIERRY GRENOT					
<p>Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1). 4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date. 5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). b. <input type="checkbox"/> has been transmitted by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US). 6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input type="checkbox"/> are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). b. <input type="checkbox"/> have been transmitted by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. d. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made. 8. <input type="checkbox"/> A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). 9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)). (Informal) 10. <input type="checkbox"/> A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)). <p>Items 11. to 16. below concern document(s) or information included:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. <input type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. 12. <input type="checkbox"/> An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included. 13. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A FIRST preliminary amendment. <input type="checkbox"/> A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment. 14. <input type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification. 15. <input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter. 16. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other items or information: Form PCT/IPEA/416 Form PCT/RO/101 Form PCT/IB/332 Form PCT/IB/308 Form PCT/IB/304 Form PCT/IB/301 					

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231

on August 24, 2001
(Date of Deposit)

Nicole Motzer
Name and Reg. No. of Attorney

Nicole Motzer
Signature

August 24, 2001
Date of Signature

EXPRESS MAIL NO.:
EL394335613US

U.S. APPLICATION NO (if known, see 37 CFR 1.51) 09/914335		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO PCT/FR00/00311		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 01-515	
---	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--

17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted: BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)): 860.00 Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO \$900.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) \$700.00 No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) \$770.00 Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO \$1040.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4) \$96.00				CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY	
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =				\$ 860.00	
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)). (See Preliminary Amendment)				\$ --	
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		
Total claims	16 - 20 =	-	X \$22.00	\$ --	
Independent claims	2 - 3 =	-	X \$80.00	\$ --	
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)				+ \$260.00	
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =				\$ 860.00	
Reduction of 1/2 for filing by small entity. Applicant claims small entity status.				\$ 430.00	
SUBTOTAL =				\$ 430.00	
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$ --	
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =				\$ 430.00	
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property				+ \$ --	
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$ 430.00	
				Amount to be: refunded \$:	
				charged \$:	


a. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 430.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed.

b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

c. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-0184. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO
 Barry L. Kelmachter
 BACHMAN & LaPOINTE, P.C.
 900 Chapel Street, Suite 1201
 New Haven, CT 06510-2802


 SIGNATURE
 Barry L. Kelmachter
 NAME
29,999
 REGISTRATION NUMBER

09/914335
JC03 Rec'd PCT/PTO 24 AUG 2001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : THIERRY GRENOT Docket No.: 01-515
Serial No. : Examiner :
Filed : Art Unit :
PCT No. : PCT/FR00/00311
IFD : February 9, 2000
For : SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MEASURING
THE TRANSFER DURATIONS AND LOSS
RATES IN HIGH VOLUME TELECOMMUNICATION
NETWORKS

900 Chapel Street
Suite 1201
New Haven, CT 06510-2802

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Prior to the initial office action in the above-
captioned newly filed patent application, please amend said
application as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Amend pages 1, 4, 8, 10-14, 17, and 18 as shown in the
attached amended pages 1, 1A, 4, 4A, 8, 8A, 10, 11, 12, 13,

13A, 14, 14A, 17, 17A, and 18. A clean copy of the amended pages is also attached hereto.

IN THE DRAWINGS:

Amend FIGS. 1 through 5 and 7 through 16 as shown in red in the attached sketches.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Cancel claims 1 - 12 without prejudice and replace them with the attached new claims 13-28.

REMARKS

By the present Preliminary Amendment, the specification has been amended to conform it with U.S. practice. Further, FIGS. 1 through 5 and 7 through 16 have been amended to provide English translations for the elements designated in French. Still further, former claims 1 - 12 have been replaced by new claims 13 - 28 for reasons other than having to do with patentability issues, namely to more completely set forth the invention and to put the claims into U.S. style format.


An early action on the merits is respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner believe an additional amendment is needed to place the case in condition for allowance, he/she is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

No fee is believed to be due as a result of this Preliminary Amendment. Should the Commissioner determine that a fee is due, he is hereby authorized to charge said fee to Deposit Account No. 02-0184.

Respectfully submitted,


THIERRY GRENOT

By 
Barry L. Kelmachter
Attorney for Applicants

Area Code: 203
Telephone: 777-6628 ext. 114
Telefax : 865-0297

Date: August 24, 2001

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
deposited with the United States Postal Service as
Express Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner
of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231
on August 24, 2001
(Date of Deposit)

Nicole Motzer
Name and Reg. No. of Attorney

Signature
August 24, 2001
Date of Signature

EXPRESS MAIL NO.:
EL394335613US

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MEASURING THE TRANSFER
DURATIONS AND LOSS RATES IN HIGH VOLUME
TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a non-intrusive method for measuring the loss rates and transfer durations for data in a telecommunication network in packet mode.

The invention is particularly adapted to high volume networks that are operated in non-connected mode. It relates also to a *distributed architecture* system comprising a plurality of flow observation probes arranged in several points in the network, and means for transmitting these measurements to a collecting module which is connected to storage means and means for analyzing the measurements that have been provided.

Packet mode telecommunication networks are characterized in that transmitted information are conveyed in groups referred to as packets, that are substantially made up of one header which contains information for sending a packet through the network as well as data to be transmitted. Such packets are conveyed through the network, and travel, in accordance to what suits the best the latter, through the most diversified transmitting and switching means.

An exemplary packet mode network is the Internet network which is operated with IP protocol (Internet Protocol). As a few examples of transmitting and switching means related to the IP protocol, ISDN (integrated services digital network), FR (Frame Relay), ATM (Asynchronous Transfer Mode), SDH (Synchronous Digital Hierarchy), SONET

(Synchronous Optical network), DWDM (Dense Wavelength Digital Multiplexing) networks, etc., can be found.

close with one another.

The present invention aims to alleviate the above-mentioned drawbacks.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To this end, one object of the invention is to provide a method and a system with a distributed architecture that allow to measure accurately the transfer durations and loss rates for telecommunication networks in packet mode. The method comprises the steps for performing the measurement operations by a plurality of observing probes that are synchronized and distributed at different points in the network, on data packets which are transmitted through the network, the measurement operations comprising the dating and the identification of the data packets, the measurement results being transmitted from the probes to the collecting module.

The method according to the invention is characterized in that the measurement operations further comprise a classification of the data packets in a homogenous flow, and a counting of the packets in the flow, the measurement results being transmitted from the probes to the collecting module through the network (1), the collecting module performing a correlation between all of the measurement results received from the probes, including the determination of the unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group, and of the loss rate for the packets.

The method according to the invention is advantageous in that it does not require the use of test packets, which permits the achievement of a very wide representativeness of every measurement. It is also advantageous in that a

large number of measurements can be carried out, resulting in a high degree of statistical accuracy. Finally, the number of measurements being carried out can be modulated in

in one flow, the transmitting means of the probes using the network to transmit the measurements carried out to the collecting module, the collecting module comprising means for determining the unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group and the loss rate for the packets.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other characteristics and advantages of the invention will be more fully understood from the following description to be considered as a non-limitative example while referring to the appended drawings, in which:

[-] Figure 1 shows schematically an exemplary embodiment of the invention in a telecommunication network in packet mode;

[-] Figure 2 shows a functional diagram of a system implementing a method according to the invention;

[-] Figure 3 depicts schematically an example of an internal function organization for a system according to the invention;

[-] Figure 4 shows a functional diagram depicting the operation of an observing probe used in a system according to the invention;

[-] Figure 5 shows a functional diagram depicting the operation of a collecting module used in a system according to the invention; and

[-] Figure 6 to 15 depict schematically the operation of a system according to the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT(S)

In Fig. 1, there is shown schematically a high volume network 1 that is operated in non-connected mode, such as a

network based on IP protocol (Internet Protocol). A plurality of flow-observing probes 2_i are arranged at different points in the network for carrying out measurements on flows of data that are exchanged through this network. Means for compressing

each packet they have access to. These measurements consist in performing dating, classification and identification of the packets, as well as compressing these measurements. Every probe 2_i transmits, through the network 1, the compressed measurements to the collecting module 4 that correlates all of these measurements.

Other embodiments are also possible in the scope of the invention, notably in the following cases:

- the users 8_i are not necessarily end users for information being conveyed within the packets; for instance, they may represent local networks or other networks in packet mode;
- the probes $[2_i]$ 2_i can be connected to the collecting module 4 through means other than the network 1; for instance, through another telecommunication network, or through a local storage medium that stores data from the collecting module 4, sending them back to it later on;
- the same collecting module 4 can be connected to several collecting modules 4;
- several collecting modules 4 can communicate to build up correlations between measurement elements they have.

As an example, a possible functional diagram of the system according to the invention is shown in Fig. 3. Four functional groups can be found therein:

- the rule group 10, with the rules being fixed statically or semi-statically (for example by the system operator);
- the load evaluation group 20, measuring the load rate on the local central processing unit, the memory occupancy, etc...;
- the calculation group 30, evaluating dynamically the values relating to compaction, sampling, etc...;

- the data path group 40, producing records that contain combinations (class, date, signature) for each packet.

When activated, the probes 2_i gain a common time reference 31. The inaccuracy of this reference between two probes 2_i affects directly the accuracy of the result for the whole device. Means for gaining that time reference can be diversified as well as multiple; as non-limitative examples, GPS (Global Positioning System), broadcasting through radio waves, high stability drivers, NTP (Network Time Protocol) and SNTP (Simple Network Time Protocol) protocols may be mentioned;

- each packet is subjected to dating 41 using the absolute time reference when it is observed by a probe $[2_i]$ 2_i . The latter is able to date, either the start of the packet, or the end of the packet, or any other criterion.
- each packet is subjected to the calculation of the signature 42, that is for representing it later on. The signature enables to reduce the amount of information which is needed to identify the packet. That signature results typically from a binary polynomial calculation (for instance, CRC calculation - cyclic redundancy check - on 16 or 32 bit elements). The signature calculation is performed either on the whole packet or on a part of it, in accordance to what is contemplated in relation with the structure and the variability of the contents of the packets in the network. The signature has to be small compared to the mean packet size, so as to ease its storage, its transmission and its subsequent processing. It must be capable of assuming different values to make negligible the likelihood that two different packets have the same signature. As an example, it

can be considered that one signature on 16 bit elements enables to identify about 256 different packets with a low likelihood of ambiguity;

- each packet is subjected to a classification operation 44. Criteria for classification are typically those that are conventionally retained to identify flows between networks and sub-networks (such as IP network sub-addresses), flows between end equipment (such as IP addresses), flows between applications (such as IP addresses and UDP/TCP transport addresses), etc... Each packet is then identified by combining all or part of the elements : class, date, signature;
- each class can be subjected to filtering 45; i.e., the probes [2i] $\underline{2}_i$ do not store the combinations (class, date, signature) for packets belonging to one of the classes for which the filter has been provided;
- each class can be subjected to a compaction or a semi-static sampling operation 46. In this case, only a part of the combinations (class, date, signature) for packets belonging to a given class will be retained. The sampling rate depends typically of the class, and will not theoretically change dynamically. For instance, it may be desirable to keep all of the combinations of packets conveying voice, and only a part of those conveying computer files.
- each class can be subjected to a dynamic sampling with a rate which depends of the congestion conditions in the system : measurement of the occupancy of buffers 21 and memories 22 of the probes [2i] $\underline{2}_i$, transmission flow rates towards the collecting module 4, network load, load of the collecting module 4, etc... A multiplicity of criteria can be used so that the overall operation

can take place automatically in an area that suits the best the device administrator. For instance, the highest sampling rate for a given maximum flow rate of a flow brought back from the probe to the collector, or a minimum flow rate of a flow brought back to the collector for a given sampling rate;

- a counter is associated with each combination (class, date, signature) that is retained, indicating the number of packets observed in the flow. The collecting module 4 is then capable to measure the loss rate in the network by comparing between the counters associated with the same packets at different points in the network.

The filtering and static and dynamic sampling operations allow to reduce the amount of combinations (class, date, signature) to be stored [et] and processed. The provision or removal of filters, the values of the semi-static sampling rates, the parameterization the dynamic sampling, etc..., can be achieved, for instance, through an administrative operation performed from one of the collecting modules 4 or operating modules 7.

Sampling criteria can be diversified. As examples, periodical sampling which consists in keeping one combination every N combinations, statistical sampling that depends on drawing a random variable of which statistical characteristics are under control, and sampling on signature that consists in keeping only those combinations of which the signatures belong to a given set of values can be mentioned.

The sequence order through which a probe 2_i performs the above-mentioned operations may change. A probe 2_i can classify the packets before dating them, as long as the measurement accuracy is not altered to a great extent. In

the same way, the filtering operations can be performed at different instants during the process.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
2221
2222
2223
2224
2225
2226
2227
2228
2229
2230
223

Fig. 5 depicts the steps for collecting and correlating the measurements by a collecting module 4.

The latter receives samples of the non-filtered combinations (class, date, signature) originating from all of the observing probes 2_i attached therewith;

- each packet is theoretically seen by two observing probes $[2_i] \underline{2_i}$: the first time when entering the network, the second time when leaving. However, other situations may occur. For instance, one packet might be seen only once if the supervision domain is not closed, or more than twice if there are observing probes $[2_i] \underline{2_i}$ within the network;
- each time a packet has been observed by an observing probe $[2_i] \underline{2_i}$ as passing by, one combination (class, date, signature) is received by the collecting module 4, except when filtering, sampling or loss of return message, etc..., is taking place;
- the collecting module 4 correlates the combinations (class, date, signature) for the same packet, for instance by comparing between the signatures and by increasing the transit delays in the network;
- in case of success, it infers from above, through a simple arithmetical calculation, on one hand, the transfer duration between the different observing probes $[2_i] \underline{2_i}$ for the packet in question and on the other hand, the number of packets that were possibly lost in the network. Moreover, a number of packets in excess at the exit enables to indicate that a fault in one of the network devices or an intrusion attempt has occurred. More sophisticated calculations, such as mean, minimum, maximum, median, etc..., values for a given time slot and

a certain flow type, can also be achieved in the
collecting module 4 prior to the storage operation

It is to be noted that sampling do not reduce the counting accuracy. This is equally true when packets are lost, that otherwise would have cause tickets to be issued. Actually, the counter that is associated with every ticket produced yields the total number of packets since the last sampled ticket. The only consequence is a loss of accuracy as for the precise instant at which the loss occurred and the exact identity of the packet that was lost. Both characteristics are of little usefulness a priori, thus being not much looked after. However, as the sampling characteristics are attached to a certain flow, it is always possible not to sample the flows for which detailed information are desirable. For those flows, all of the packets will cause one ticket to be issued. Moreover, as the number of measurements is lower than the number of packets, statistical laws will be applicable, that are well known as for the validity and the accuracy of the measurements which are applied to the sample thus captured.

Therefore, the method according to the invention enables to achieve flow control at the probe level in order:

- to protect the collecting module 4 against an overload :
(too many tickets to be processed relatively to its own resources that are the available processing power and the memory size,...);
- to protect the probes [2i] 2_i against an overload : (too many tickets to be processed relatively to its own resources that are the available processing power and the memory size,...);
- to protect the network used to transmit ticket records from the probe to the collector;

- to adapt to changes in the capacity of the network used to transmit ticket records from the probes [2i] 2_i to the collecting module 4;

- to enable an optimum distribution of the measurement resource between the different flows in case of congestion;
- to optimize the pair (measurement accuracy/network load) in accordance with combined criteria, in normal operation.

To control the flow, the following functions may be used, separately or in combination:

- limitation of the overall flow through the network to a maximum value due to the transmission of ticket records from the probes [2i] $\underline{2_i}$ to the collecting module 4. That limit can, either be defined by an initial configuration, or be modulated by the collecting module 4 or by an external device operating the network;
- limitation of the sampling rate to a maximum value. That limit can, either be defined by an initial configuration, or be provided by the collecting module 4 or by an external device operating the network. In addition, it may differ from each type of flow or flow group;
- reduction of the sampling rate. That reduction can, either be defined locally by observing the congestion of the probes [2i] $\underline{2_i}$, or be fixed by the collecting module 4 or by an external device operating the network. That reduction may differ for each type of flow or flow group. The reduction law must allow the collection module 4 to correlate records which were performed by probes 2i $\underline{2_i}$ having not the same sampling value for a given flow, the reduction being not necessarily synchronous between the probes [2i] $\underline{2_i}$. A principle which must be retained is the inclusion one; tickets of the "reduced" flows having to be included also in the tickets of the "lesser reduced" flows. In this way, tickets of the probe 2i having the highest reduction factor

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MEASURING THE TRANSFER
DURATIONS AND LOSS RATES IN HIGH VOLUME
TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a non-intrusive method for measuring the loss rates and transfer durations for data in a telecommunication network in packet mode.

The invention is particularly adapted to high volume networks that are operated in non-connected mode. It relates also to a *distributed architecture* system comprising a plurality of flow observation probes arranged in several points in the network, and means for transmitting these measurements to a collecting module which is connected to storage means and means for analyzing the measurements that have been provided.

Packet mode telecommunication networks are characterized in that transmitted information are conveyed in groups referred to as packets, that are substantially made up of one header which contains information for sending a packet through the network as well as data to be transmitted. Such packets are conveyed through the network, and travel, in accordance to what suits the best the latter, through the most diversified transmitting and switching means.

An exemplary packet mode network is the Internet network which is operated with IP protocol (Internet Protocol). As a few examples of transmitting and switching means related to the IP protocol, ISDN (integrated services digital network), FR (Frame Relay), ATM (Asynchronous Transfer Mode), SDH (Synchronous Digital Hierarchy), SONET

(Synchronous Optical network), DWDM (Dense Wavelength Digital Multiplexing) networks, etc., can be found.

close with one another.

The present invention aims to alleviate the above-mentioned drawbacks.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To this end, one object of the invention is to provide a method and a system with a distributed architecture that allow to measure accurately the transfer durations and loss rates for telecommunication networks in packet mode. The method comprises the steps for performing the measurement operations by a plurality of observing probes that are synchronized and distributed at different points in the network, on data packets which are transmitted through the network, the measurement operations comprising the dating and the identification of the data packets, the measurement results being transmitted from the probes to the collecting module.

The method according to the invention is characterized in that the measurement operations further comprise a classification of the data packets in a homogenous flow, and a counting of the packets in the flow, the measurement results being transmitted from the probes to the collecting module through the network (1), the collecting module performing a correlation between all of the measurement results received from the probes, including the determination of the unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group, and of the loss rate for the packets.

The method according to the invention is advantageous in that it does not require the use of test packets, which permits the achievement of a very wide representativeness of every measurement. It is also advantageous in that a

large number of measurements can be carried out, resulting in a high degree of statistical accuracy. Finally, the number of measurements being carried out can be modulated in

in one flow, the transmitting means of the probes using the network to transmit the measurements carried out to the collecting module, the collecting module comprising means for determining the unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group and the loss rate for the packets.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other characteristics and advantages of the invention will be more fully understood from the following description to be considered as a non-limitative example while referring to the appended drawings, in which:

Figure 1 shows schematically an exemplary embodiment of the invention in a telecommunication network in packet mode;

Figure 2 shows a functional diagram of a system implementing a method according to the invention;

Figure 3 depicts schematically an example of an internal function organization for a system according to the invention;

Figure 4 shows a functional diagram depicting the operation of an observing probe used in a system according to the invention;

Figure 5 shows a functional diagram depicting the operation of a collecting module used in a system according to the invention; and

Figure 6 to 15 depict schematically the operation of a system according to the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT(S)

In Fig. 1, there is shown schematically a high volume network 1 that is operated in non-connected mode, such as a

network based on IP protocol (Internet Protocol). A plurality of flow-observing probes 2_i are arranged at different points in the network for carrying out measurements on flows of data that are exchanged through this network. Means for compressing

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
2221
222

each packet they have access to. These measurements consist in performing dating, classification and identification of the packets, as well as compressing these measurements. Every probe 2_i transmits, through the network 1, the compressed measurements to the collecting module 4 that correlates all of these measurements.

Other embodiments are also possible in the scope of the invention, notably in the following cases:

- the users 8_i are not necessarily end users for information being conveyed within the packets; for instance, they may represent local networks or other networks in packet mode;
- the probes 2_i can be connected to the collecting module 4 through means other than the network 1; for instance, through another telecommunication network, or through a local storage medium that stores data from the collecting module 4, sending them back to it later on;
- the same collecting module 4 can be connected to several collecting modules 4;
- several collecting modules 4 can communicate to build up correlations between measurement elements they have.

As an example, a possible functional diagram of the system according to the invention is shown in Fig. 3. Four functional groups can be found therein:

- the rule group 10, with the rules being fixed statically or semi-statically (for example by the system operator);
- the load evaluation group 20, measuring the load rate on the local central processing unit, the memory occupancy, etc...;
- the calculation group 30, evaluating dynamically the values relating to compaction, sampling, etc...;

- the data path group 40, producing records that contain combinations (class, date, signature) for each packet.

When activated, the probes 2_i gain a common time reference 31. The inaccuracy of this reference between two probes 2_i affects directly the accuracy of the result for the whole device. Means for gaining that time reference can be diversified as well as multiple; as non-limitative examples, GPS (Global Positioning System), broadcasting through radio waves, high stability drivers, NTP (Network Time Protocol) and SNTP (Simple Network Time Protocol) protocols may be mentioned;

- each packet is subjected to dating 41 using the absolute time reference when it is observed by a probe 2_i . The latter is able to date, either the start of the packet, or the end of the packet, or any other criterion.
- each packet is subjected to the calculation of the signature 42, that is for representing it later on. The signature enables to reduce the amount of information which is needed to identify the packet. That signature results typically from a binary polynomial calculation (for instance, CRC calculation - cyclic redundancy check - on 16 or 32 bit elements). The signature calculation is performed either on the whole packet or on a part of it, in accordance to what is contemplated in relation with the structure and the variability of the contents of the packets in the network. The signature has to be small compared to the mean packet size, so as to ease its storage, its transmission and its subsequent processing. It must be capable of assuming different values to make negligible the likelihood that two different packets have the same signature. As an example, it

can be considered that one signature on 16 bit elements enables to identify about 256 different packets with a low likelihood of ambiguity;

- each packet is subjected to a classification operation 44. Criteria for classification are typically those that are conventionally retained to identify flows between networks and sub-networks (such as IP network sub-addresses), flows between end equipment (such as IP addresses), flows between applications (such as IP addresses and UDP/TCP transport addresses), etc... Each packet is then identified by combining all or part of the elements :
class, date, signature;
- each class can be subjected to filtering 45; i.e., the probes 2_i do not store the combinations (class, date, signature) for packets belonging to one of the classes for which the filter has been provided;
- each class can be subjected to a compaction or a semi-static sampling operation 46. In this case, only a part of the combinations (class, date, signature) for packets belonging to a given class will be retained. The sampling rate depends typically of the class, and will not theoretically change dynamically. For instance, it may be desirable to keep all of the combinations of packets conveying voice, and only a part of those conveying computer files.
- each class can be subjected to a dynamic sampling with a rate which depends of the congestion conditions in the system : measurement of the occupancy of buffers 21 and memories 22 of the probes 2_i, transmission flow rates towards the collecting module 4, network load, load of the collecting module 4, etc... A multiplicity of criteria can be used so that the overall operation

can take place automatically in an area that suits the best the device administrator. For instance, the highest sampling rate for a given maximum flow rate of a flow brought back from the probe to the collector, or a minimum flow rate of a flow brought back to the collector for a given sampling rate;

- a counter is associated with each combination (class, date, signature) that is retained, indicating the number of packets observed in the flow. The collecting module 4 is then capable to measure the loss rate in the network by comparing between the counters associated with the same packets at different points in the network.

The filtering and static and dynamic sampling operations allow to reduce the amount of combinations (class, date, signature) to be stored and processed. The provision or removal of filters, the values of the semi-static sampling rates, the parameterization the dynamic sampling, etc..., can be achieved, for instance, through an administrative operation performed from one of the collecting modules 4 or operating modules 7.

Sampling criteria can be diversified. As examples, periodical sampling which consists in keeping one combination every N combinations, statistical sampling that depends on drawing a random variable of which statistical characteristics are under control, and sampling on signature that consists in keeping only those combinations of which the signatures belong to a given set of values can be mentioned.

The sequence order through which a probe 2_i performs the above-mentioned operations may change. A probe 2_i can classify the packets before dating them, as long as the measurement accuracy is not altered to a great extent. In

[illegible]

Fig. 5 depicts the steps for collecting and correlating the measurements by a collecting module 4.

The latter receives samples of the non-filtered combinations (class, date, signature) originating from all of the observing probes 2_i attached therewith;

- each packet is theoretically seen by two observing probes 2_i : the first time when entering the network, the second time when leaving. However, other situations may occur. For instance, one packet might be seen only once if the supervision domain is not closed, or more than twice if there are observing probes 2_i within the network;
- each time a packet has been observed by an observing probe 2_i as passing by, one combination (class, date, signature) is received by the collecting module 4, except when filtering, sampling or loss of return message, etc..., is taking place;
- the collecting module 4 correlates the combinations (class, date, signature) for the same packet, for instance by comparing between the signatures and by increasing the transit delays in the network;
- in case of success, it infers from above, through a simple arithmetical calculation, on one hand, the transfer duration between the different observing probes 2_i for the packet in question and on the other hand, the number of packets that were possibly lost in the network. Moreover, a number of packets in excess at the exit enables to indicate that a fault in one of the network devices or an intrusion attempt has occurred. More sophisticated calculations, such as mean, minimum, maximum, median, etc..., values for a given time slot and a certain flow

type, can also be achieved in the collecting module 4
prior to the storage operation

It is to be noted that sampling do not reduce the counting accuracy. This is equally true when packets are lost, that otherwise would have cause tickets to be issued. Actually, the counter that is associated with every ticket produced yields the total number of packets since the last sampled ticket. The only consequence is a loss of accuracy as for the precise instant at which the loss occurred and the exact identity of the packet that was lost. Both characteristics are of little usefulness a priori, thus being not much looked after. However, as the sampling characteristics are attached to a certain flow, it is always possible not to sample the flows for which detailed information are desirable. For those flows, all of the packets will cause one ticket to be issued. Moreover, as the number of measurements is lower than the number of packets, statistical laws will be applicable, that are well known as for the validity and the accuracy of the measurements which are applied to the sample thus captured.

Therefore, the method according to the invention enables to achieve flow control at the probe level in order:

- to protect the collecting module 4 against an overload :
(too many tickets to be processed relatively to its own resources that are the available processing power and the memory size,...);
- to protect the probes 2_i against an overload : (too many tickets to be processed relatively to its own resources that are the available processing power and the memory size,...);
- to protect the network used to transmit ticket records from the probe to the collector;

- to adapt to changes in the capacity of the network used to transmit ticket records from the probes 2_i to the collecting module 4;

- to enable an optimum distribution of the measurement resource between the different flows in case of congestion;
- to optimize the pair (measurement accuracy/network load) in accordance with combined criteria, in normal operation.

To control the flow, the following functions may be used, separately or in combination:

- limitation of the overall flow through the network to a maximum value due to the transmission of ticket records from the probes 2_i to the collecting module 4. That limit can, either be defined by an initial configuration, or be modulated by the collecting module 4 or by an external device operating the network;
- limitation of the sampling rate to a maximum value. That limit can, either be defined by an initial configuration, or be provided by the collecting module 4 or by an external device operating the network. In addition, it may differ from each type of flow or flow group;
- reduction of the sampling rate. That reduction can, either be defined locally by observing the congestion of the probes 2_i , or be fixed by the collecting module 4 or by an external device operating the network. That reduction may differ for each type of flow or flow group. The reduction law must allow the collection module 4 to correlate records which were performed by probes 2_i having not the same sampling value for a given flow, the reduction being not necessarily synchronous between the probes 2_i . A principle which must be retained is the inclusion one; tickets of the "reduced" flows having to be included also in the tickets of the "lesser reduced" flows. In this way, tickets of the probe 2_i having the highest reduction factor

NEW CLAIMS

13. A non-intrusive method for measuring loss rates and transfer durations for data in a telecommunication network in packet mode comprising the steps of:

performing measurement operations with a plurality of observing probes that are synchronized and distributed at different points in the network on data packets which are being transmitted through the network;

said performing step comprising dating and identifying the data packets;

transmitting measurement results from said dating and identifying step from said probes to a collecting module;

said performing step further comprising classifying the data packets in a homogeneous flow and counting the data packets in the homogeneous flow and transmitting measurement results from said classifying and counting steps from said probes to said collecting module through the network; and

performing with the collecting module a correlation between all of said measurement results received from the probes including determining unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group and the loss rate for the data packets.

14. A method according to claim 13, further comprising said identifying step comprising calculating an identification signature on packet contents for each said data packet.

15. A method according to claim 13, further comprising said dating step comprising subjecting each observed data packet to dating in accordance with an absolute time reference gained by the observing probes.

16. A method according to claim 15, further comprising issuing one ticket comprising packet passage time, packet signature, and a value of a counter associated with the flow or the information flow group.

17. A method according to claim 16, further comprising a filtering step and a semi-static sampling step for classes obtained during the classifying step and said sampling step comprising selecting those data packets which will cause said one ticket to be issued.

18. A method according to claim 17, further comprising a dynamic sampling step with a rate which depends on congestion conditions in the network.

19. A method according to claim 17, wherein said sampling step is performed with a sampling rate which can be limited to a maximum value that is defined by an initial configuration or be modulated by the collecting module or by an external device operating the network.

20. A method according to claim 18, wherein said sampling step is performed with a sampling rate which can be limited to a maximum value that is defined by an initial configuration or be modulated by the collecting module or by an external device operating the network.

21. A method according to claim 13, wherein said classifying step comprises classifying each said data packet according to recipient characteristics of the respective data packet or according to a contents type for the respective data packet.

22. A method according to claim 13, wherein for a given flow F, the transfer durations determining step is carried out as follows:

$$D_{es}(p) = Hs(p) - He(p)$$

where $D_{es}(p)$ is a transfer duration from an entry point (e) to an exit point (s) for a respective data packet (p); $He(p)$ is a first time stamping in a ticket associated with the respective data packet (p) by one of said probes at the entry point; and $Hs(p)$ is a second time stamping in the ticket associated with the respective data packet (p) by said one of said probes at the exit point.

23. A method according to claim 13, further comprising calculating the transfer durations at different sections in the network using a mapping operation of combinations which belong to one of said data packets that has been observed by several of said probes.

24. A method according to claim 13, wherein, for a given flow, the loss rate determining step comprises calculating a number $Pes(pq)$ of said data packets lost in the network between a passage of two data packets designated p and q according to the following formula:

$$Pes(pq) = Ne(pq) - Ns(pq)$$

where $Ne(pq)$ = number of data packets between the passage of the packets p and q at an exit point; and $Ns(pq)$ = number of packets between the passage of the packets p and q at an entry point.

25. A method according to claim 19, wherein, in the case where the sampling rate is low, breaking down time in slots starting from an instant when an observed data packet causes one last ticket to be issued, fixing the size of each time slot locally at one of the probes or by the collecting module, associating one counter with each time slot, and, for every data packet passing by that does not cause one ticket to be issued, incrementing said one counter associated with a corresponding time slot when the passage occurred, and for the next packet passing by that causes one ticket to be issued, attaching a list of counters thereby obtained.

26. A system with a distributed architecture for measuring non-intrusively loss rates and transfer durations for data in a telecommunication network in a packet mode, said system comprising:

a plurality of flow observing probes arranged in several locations in the network;

means for transmitting measurements from said probes to a collecting module including means for analyzing said measurements;

each of said probes further comprising means for classifying data packets in a homogeneous flow, means for identifying each said data packet, means for counting the data packets in one flow;

said transmitting means using the network to transmit the measurements carried out by the probes to the collecting module; and

the collecting module comprising means for determining unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group and the loss rate for the data packets.

27. A system according to claim 26, wherein the identifying means of each said probe comprises means for calculating an identification for each said data packet.

28. A system according to claim 26, wherein each said probe further comprises means for compressing the measurements before transmitting said measurements to the collecting module.




FIG1




FIG2




FIG 3




FIG 4




FIG 5




FIG 6




FIG 7


Entregistrement de tickets correspondant :

CORRESPONDING TICKET RECORD

Sonde	En-tête d'enregistrement	Identificateur du flux	1356	1399	1418
A		F	64	32	48
			1	5	2

RECORD HEADER IDENTIFIER OF FLOW F

FIG 8



Entregistrement de tickets correspondant :

CORRESPONDING TICKET RECORD

Sonde	En-tête d'enregistrement	Identificateur du flux	1984	2040	2053
B		F	64	32	48
			1	5	2

RECORD HEADER IDENTIFIER OF FLOW F




FIG 9




FIG 10




FIG 11




FIG 12





FIG 13






FIG 15




FIG 16

#4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : THIERRY GRENOT Docket No.: 01-515
Serial No. : 09/914,335 Examiner :
Filed : Art Unit :
PCT No. : PCT/FR00/00311
IFD : February 9, 2000
For : SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MEASURING
THE TRANSFER DURATIONS AND LOSS
RATES IN HIGH VOLUME TELECOMMUNICATION
NETWORKS

900 Chapel Street
Suite 1201
New Haven, CT 06510-2802

SUBMISSION OF FORMAL DRAWINGS

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
United States Patent & Trademark Office
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicant submits herewith eight (8) sheets of formal
drawings for the above-identified U.S. patent application.

If any fees are required in connection with this case, it is
respectfully requested that they be charged to Deposit Account
No. 02-0184.

I hereby certify that all correspondence is being
deposited with the United States Postal Service as
Express Mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner
of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

on November 30, 2001

(Date of Deposit)
Antoinette Sullo

Name and Reg. No. of Attorney

Antoinette Sullo
Signature

11-30-01

Date of Signature

Date: November 30, 2001

Respectfully submitted,

THIERRY GRENOT

By Barry L. Kelmachter
Barry L. Kelmachter
Attorney for Applicant

Area Code: 203
Telephone: 777-6628
Telefax : 865-0297




FIG1



FIG 2




FIG 3




FIG 4




FIG 5




FIG 6




FIG 7




FIG 8





FIG 9



CORRESPONDING TICKET RECORD :

PROBE B	RECORD HEADER	IDENTIFIER OF FLOW F	1984	2040	2053
			24	32	48
			1	4	2

FIG 10




FIG 11






FIG 12






FIG 15




FIG 16

8/25

na/914335

JCO3 Rec'd PGT/PTO 24 AUG 2001

1

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MEASURING THE TRANSFER
DURATIONS AND LOSS RATES IN HIGH VOLUME
TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS

5

The present invention relates to a non-intrusive method for measuring the loss rates and transfer durations for data in a telecommunication network in packet mode.

10

The invention is particularly adapted to high volume networks that are operated in non-connected mode. It relates also to a *distributed architecture* system comprising a plurality of flow observation probes arranged in several points in the network, and
15 means for transmitting these measurements to a collecting module which is connected to storage means and means for analyzing the measurements that have been provided.

Packet mode telecommunication networks are
20 characterized in that transmitted information are conveyed in groups referred to as packets, that are substantially made up of one header which contains information for sending a packet through the network as well as data to be transmitted. Such packets are
25 conveyed through the network, and travel, in accordance to what suits the best the latter, through the most diversified transmitting and switching means.

An exemplary packet mode network is the Internet network which is operated with IP protocol
30 (Internet Protocol). As a few examples of transmitting and switching means related to the IP protocol, ISDN (integrated services digital network), FR (Frame Relay), ATM (Asynchronous Transfer Mode), SDH (Synchronous Digital Hierarchy), SONET (Synchronous
35 Optical network), DWDM (Dense Wavelength Digital Multiplexing) networks, etc., can be found.

Packets are typically transmitted from a large number of sources operating independently with one another, towards a large number of recipients that operate independently with one another as well.

5 Since the transmission timing of packets and the length of every packet are not accurately defined by the network itself, it is difficult for the network operator and users to guarantee and even estimate the transfer duration and the loss rate (likelihood that
10 one packet will not reach the intended destination). Therefore, it would be very useful to carry out accurate measurements on real data pertaining to useful packets, so that administration, configuration, and planning of a packet mode network can be made possible.
15 Good knowledge of these characteristics would facilitate equally the implementation of services with differentiated and guaranteed quality, as opposed to a best effort type service.

 One conventional solution to achieve such a
20 result is to make use of one or more sources that send test packets, referred to as "ping" in an IP network. Each test packet is recognized by its recipient and is sent back by the latter to the corresponding source. This source is able then to carry out measurements,
25 such as the round-trip transmission duration. Statistical processing is also possible based on measurements performed on a large number of packets ; for instance, to estimate the characteristics of the round-trip transfer durations (maximum, minimum, mean,
30 median, etc...).

 Another solution that is used consists in providing part of sources and recipients with a sufficiently accurate knowledge of a common time reference. Sources produce test packets and take notice
35 of the starting time. Recipients take notice of the reception time for these test packets. Then,

calculations are carried out as to characterize, for example, the transfer durations and loss rates.

However, with the above-mentioned solutions it is not possible to achieve a good accuracy on every occasion. Actually, the number of test packets has to remain small for neither overloading the network, nor using too many devices. Hence, statistical estimates might carry a high degree of inaccuracy. Besides this, packet mode networks often do not feature identical characteristics on the way out and the way back paths, between two access points. Moreover, the devices for the packet mode network (such as routers and switchers) analyze frequently the packet contents (for example, the transport protocol from end to end, the data type, the type of file which is contained in the packet, etc...), in order to infer therefrom a process for transmitting the packet, the queue, or the priority, etc... Consequently, test packets might not follow the same path as do the packets which contain real data for network users. This results in a high degree of uncertainty about the measurement of transfer duration of packets containing real data for network users.

From the U.S. Patent n° 5,521,907, another solution is also known, which permits measurement in a non-intrusive way between two points in a known network. However, that solution is strictly limited to networks in connected mode, such as frame relay, and therefore, cannot be used in non-connected mode networks, nor high volume networks. Further, with that method, analysis of packet losses is not possible.

Moreover, from the U.S. Patent n° 5,535,193, a solution which permits determination of the passage time of the packets by a plurality of synchronized network analyzers. However, this solution makes use of a separate connection for controlling the synchronization, and requires thus the analyzers to be

close with one another.

The present invention aims to alleviate the above-mentioned drawbacks.

To this end, one object of the invention is
5 to provide a method and a system with a distributed architecture that allow to measure accurately the transfer durations and loss rates for telecommunication networks in packet mode. The method comprises the steps for performing the measurement operations by a
10 plurality of observing probes that are synchronized and distributed at different points in the network, on data packets which are transmitted through the network, the measurement operations comprising the dating and the identification of the data packets, the measurement
15 results being transmitted from the probes to the collecting module.

The method according to the invention is characterized in that the measurement operations further comprise a classification of the data packets
20 in a homogenous flow, and a counting of the packets in the flow, the measurement results being transmitted from the probes to the collecting module through the network (1), the collecting module performing a correlation between all of the measurement results
25 received from the probes, including the determination of the unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group, and of the loss rate for the packets.

The method according to the invention is
30 advantageous in that it does not require the use of test packets, which permits the achievement of a very wide representativeness of every measurement. It is also advantageous in that a large number of measurements can be carried out, resulting in a high
35 degree of statistical accuracy. Finally, the number of measurements being carried out can be modulated in

accordance with the types of data conveyed within the packets, authorizing a rational use of the resources which are available in the system.

Besides this, classification of the data
5 packets in a homogenous flow makes possible :

- to refine the measurement (per destination, per application type, ...) ;
- to index the reference space for signatures, to minimize thereby double signature likelihood,
10 and to ease incoming flow/outgoing flow correlations.

Another advantage offered by the classification of the data packets in a homogenous flow is that a signature with a small length can be kept
15 while having a low probability of ambiguity resulting from similar signatures appended to different packets. This is effective to ease strongly the system operation on the wide network.

In practice, the flow is determined from the
20 network addresses (which define the paths), the transport addresses and the optional elements that enable the network to select the service quality to be applied. Alternatives are possible, for example, to bring together IP addresses into sub-network
25 corresponding to a single destination. In the case where an Internet network is used, source and destination IP addresses could be selected, as well as, either the ToS (type of service) field, or the TCP/UDP source and destination ports. Other clusters may be
30 employed without departing from the scope of the invention.

The counting of the packet in the flow is used to determine packet losses in the network. The size of the counter depends of the implementation,
35 nevertheless remaining at a reasonable level by using the fact related to a given flow. Ongoing

implementations can be satisfactorily achieved with counters having a 8-32 bits width.

The method according to the invention enables equally an accurate measurement of the losses of packets in the network, operating such a network with numerous points giving access to the observed area, while maintaining a simplified structure. It is also applicable to point-to-multipoint flows.

Advantageously, the signature which identifies the packet shows the three following characteristics :

- it is kept in the network, at any measurement point. When determining it, this will result in ignoring fields that correspond to the physical layers and the network layers having a level lower than the layer at which operates the system ;
- its length is small compared to the mean length of the packets. This allows to limit the amount of information to supply the collector with ;
- the likelihood that two different packets have the same signature for a given flow is low. This allows to limit the number of disabled measurements.

According to another important characteristic of the invention, the method comprises a filtering step and a semi-static sampling step for classes obtained during the classification step.

In this case, only a part of the packet combinations belonging to a given class will be retained. The sampling rate depends typically of the class, and will not theoretically change dynamically. For instance, it may be desirable to keep all of the combinations of packets conveying voice, and only a part of those that convey computer files.

Further, each class can be submitted to a dynamic sampling with a rate which depends of the congestion conditions in the system.

A multiplicity of criteria may be used so that the overall operation could take place automatically in an area that suits the best the device administrator, for instance, with the highest sampling
 5 rate for a given maximum network flow rate, or a minimum network flow rate for a given sampling rate.

With the sampling mechanism, the method according to the invention enables an observation of extremely high volume networks and a diminution of
 10 flows brought back from the probes to the collector as well as a dynamic optimization of the measurement characteristics, which authorizes an adaptive optimization in accordance with the operating conditions of the system.

15 According to an important characteristic of the invention, the measurement of the transfer durations and the counting of the packet are synchronized as a function of an absolute time reference gained by the measuring probes that are
 20 distributed through the network.

With the absolute time reference, it is possible to obtain loss rates and transfer durations in each communication direction. For example, according to the accuracy and the cost which are required, the
 25 absolute time reference can be gained through GPS devices, radio broadcasting, network protocols.

Noting the absolute time of the passage of the packet (time stamping) will be used to calculate transfer durations between two probes. For instance, in
 30 the case where an Internet network is used, an accuracy of about 100 μ s may be selected.

The system for implementing the method according to the invention is characterized in that each probe further comprises means for classifying the
 35 data packets in a homogenous flow, means for identifying each packet, means for counting the packets

in one flow, the transmitting means of the probes using the network to transmit the measurements carried out to the collecting module, the collecting module comprising means for determining the unidirectional transfer
 5 durations per flow or information flow group and the loss rate for the packets.

Other characteristics and advantages of the invention will be more fully understood from the following description to be considered as a non-
 10 limitative example while referring to the appended drawings, in which :

- Figure 1 shows schematically an exemplary embodiment of the invention in a telecommunication network in packet mode ;
- 15 - Figure 2 shows a functional diagram of a system implementing a method according to the invention;
- Figure 3 depicts schematically an example of an internal functional organization for a system according to the invention ;
- 20 - Figure 4 shows a functional diagram depicting the operation of an observing probe used in a system according to the invention ;
- Figure 5 shows a functional diagram depicting the operation of a collecting module used in a system according to the invention ;
- 25 - Figures 6 to 15 depict schematically the operation of a system according to the invention.

30 In Fig. 1, there is shown schematically a high volume network 1 that is operated in non-connected mode, such as a network based on IP protocol (Internet Protocol). A plurality of flow-observing probes 2_i are arranged at different points in the network for
 35 carrying out measurements on flows of data that are exchanged through this network. Means for compressing

these measurements are provided in probes 2_i as well as means for transmitting them to a collecting module 4. The latter acts to collect and correlate the elementary measurements that were carried out by the observing probes 2_i .

As it can be seen from Fig. 2, said collecting module 4 is connected to storage means 5 that communicate with means 6 for analyzing measurements that were performed. Thereafter, results of these analyses are sent to an operating module 7. Those different modules may be, either dissociated physically, or partially or entirely provided in one or more common physical equipment.

The method according to the invention is characterized substantially by :

- a step for classifying the data packets in a homogenous flow ;
- a step for calculating an identification signature for each packet ;
- a step for counting the packets in the flow ;
- a step for measuring the unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group and the loss rate for the packets.

Respective order of these steps may be changed according to the different requirements put on when implementing.

As it is depicted in Fig. 1, users 8_1 , 8_2 and 8_3 are connected to the network 1. Observing probes 2_1 and 2_4 have access to packets 9_1 originated from 8_1 , the probe 2_2 has access to packets originated from 8_2 , the probe 2_3 has access to packets received by 8_3 . The collecting module 4 is connected to the network 1 and behaves as a user of this network 1, communicating through this network with the probes 2_1 , 2_2 , 2_3 , and 2_4 , which are users of the network 1 as well. These probes 2_1 , 2_2 , 2_3 , and 2_4 carry out measurement operations for

each packet they have access to. These measurements consist in performing dating, classification and identification of the packets, as well as compressing these measurements. Every probe 2_i transmits, through the network 1, the compressed measurements to the collecting module 4 that correlates all of these measurements.

Other embodiments are also possible in the scope of the present invention, notably in the following cases :

- the users 8_i are not necessarily end users for information being conveyed within the packets ; for instance, they may represent local networks or other networks in packet mode ;
- the probes 2_i can be connected to the collecting module 4 through means other than the network 1; for instance, through another telecommunication network, or through a local storage medium that stores data from the collecting module 4, sending them back to it later on ;
- the same collecting module 4 can be connected to several collecting modules 4 ;
- several collecting modules 4 can communicate to build up correlations between measurement elements they have.

As an example, a possible functional diagram of the system according to the invention is shown in Fig. 3. Four functional groups can be found therein :

- the rule group 10, with the rules being fixed statically or semi-statically (for example by the system operator);
- the load evaluation group 20, measuring the load rate on the local central processing unit, the memory occupancy, etc... ;
- the calculation group 30, evaluating dynamically the values relating to compaction, sampling, etc... ;

- the data path group 40, producing records that contain combinations (class, date, signature) for each packet.

When activated, the probes 2_i gain a common time reference 31. The inaccuracy of this reference between two probes 2_i affects directly the accuracy of the result for the whole device. Means for gaining that time reference can be diversified as well as multiple ; as non-limitative examples, GPS (Global Positioning System), broadcasting through radio waves, high stability drivers, NTP (Network Time Protocol) and SNTP (Simple Network Time Protocol) protocols may be mentioned ;

- each packet is subjected to dating 41 using the absolute time reference when it is observed by a probe 2_i . The latter is able to date, either the start of the packet, or the end of the packet, or any other criterion.
- each packet is subjected to the calculation of the signature 42, that is for representing it later on. The signature enables to reduce the amount of information which is needed to identify the packet. That signature results typically from a binary polynomial calculation (for instance, CRC calculation - cyclic redundancy check - on 16 or 32 bit elements). The signature calculation is performed either on the whole packet or on a part of it, in accordance to what is contemplated in relation with the structure and the variability of the contents of the packets in the network. The signature has to be small compared to the mean packet size, so as to ease its storage, its transmission and its subsequent processing. It must be capable of assuming different values to make negligible the likelihood that two different packets have the same signature. As an example, it

can be considered that one signature on 16 bit elements enables to identify about 256 different packets with a low likelihood of ambiguity ;

- 5 - each packet is subjected to a classification operation 44. Criteria for classification are typically those that are conventionally retained to identify flows between networks and sub-networks (such as IP network sub-addresses), flows between end equipment (such as IP addresses), flows between applications (such as IP addresses and UDP/TCP transport addresses), etc... Each packet is then identified by combining all or part of the elements : class, date, signature;
- 10 - each class can be subjected to filtering 45 ; i.e., the probes 2i do not store the combinations (class, date, signature) for packets belonging to one of the classes for which the filter has been provided ;
- 15 - each class can be subjected to a compaction or a semi-static sampling operation 46. In this case, only a part of the combinations (class, date, signature) for packets belonging to a given class will be retained. The sampling rate depends typically of the class, and will not theoretically change dynamically. For instance, it may be desirable to keep all of the combinations of packets conveying voice, and only a part of those conveying computer files.
- 20 - each class can be subjected to a dynamic sampling with a rate which depends of the congestion conditions in the system : measurement of the occupancy of buffers 21 and memories 22 of the probes 2i, transmission flow rates towards the collecting module 4, network load, load of the collecting module 4, etc... A multiplicity of
- 25 criteria can be used so that the overall operation
- 30
- 35

can take place automatically in an area that suits the best the device administrator. For instance, the highest sampling rate for a given maximum flow rate of a flow brought back from the probe to the collector, or a minimum flow rate of a flow brought back to the collector for a given sampling rate ;

5 - a counter is associated with each combination (class, date, signature) that is retained, indicating the number of packets observed in the flow. The collecting module 4 is then capable to measure the loss rate in the network by comparing between the counters associated with the same packets at different points in the network.

10

The filtering and static and dynamic sampling operations allow to reduce the amount of combinations (class, date, signature) to be stored et processed. The provision or removal of filters, the values of the semi-static sampling rates, the parameterization the dynamic sampling, etc..., can be achieved, for instance,

15 through an administrative operation performed from one of the collecting modules 4 or operating modules 7.

20

Sampling criteria can be diversified. As examples, periodical sampling which consists in keeping one combination every N combinations, statistical sampling that depends on drawing a random variable of which statistical characteristics are under control,

25 and sampling on signature that consists in keeping only those combinations of which the signatures belong to a given set of values can be mentioned.

30 The sequence order through which a probe 2_i performs the above-mentioned operations may change. A probe 2_i can classify the packets before dating them, as long as the measurement accuracy is not altered to a great extent. In the same way, the filtering operations can be performed at different instants during the process.

35

Fig. 5 depicts the steps for collecting and correlating the measurements by a collecting module 4.

- The latter receives samples of the non-filtered combinations (class, date, signature) originating from all of the observing probes 2_i attached therewith ;
- each packet is theoretically seen by two observing probes 2_i : the first time when entering the network, the second time when leaving. However, other situations may occur. For instance, one packet might be seen only once if the supervision domain is not closed, or more than twice if there are observing probes 2_i within the network ;
 - each time a packet has been observed by an observing probe 2_i as passing by, one combination (class, date, signature) is received by the collecting module 4, except when filtering, sampling or loss of return message, etc..., is taking place ;
 - the collecting module 4 correlates the combinations (class, date, signature) for the same packet, for instance by comparing between the signatures and by increasing the transit delays in the network ;
 - in case of success, it infers from above, through a simple arithmetical calculation, on one hand, the transfer duration between the different observing probes 2_i for the packet in question and, on the other hand, the number of packets that were possibly lost in the network. Moreover, a number of packets in excess at the exit enables to indicate that a fault in one of the network devices or an intrusion attempt has occurred. More sophisticated calculations, such as mean, minimum, maximum, median, etc..., values for a given time slot and a certain flow type, can also be achieved in the collecting module 4 prior to the storage operation

in the storage module 5.

The selection of one set of consistent criteria for the filtering and the static and dynamic sampling that are applicable to all of the observing probes 2_i attached to a collecting module 4 facilitates the correlation operations the latter has to perform, and enhances the successful correlation ratio.

According to an alternative of the method, it may happen that measuring certain flows is not desirable. In this case, corresponding measurements are filtered, which prevents undue loads on the probes 2_i to be generated.

For each packet, one ticket is issued and typically comprises 3 parts : the packet passage time, the packet signature, and the value of the counter associated with the flow (absolute value, or number of packets since the last ticket was issued). For a given flow, tickets are brought together in a common structure before their transmission to the collecting module 4. This clustering operation allows to factorize long elements (flow identifier), and thereby to reduce the overall amount of information to be sent up to the collecting module 4. A transmission of ticket records towards said collecting module 4 is occurring, for instance, if the maximum record length has been reached, or off-time, when, for a given flow, the probes 2_i do not observe packet passing by any longer.

An important advantage of the method according to the invention derives from the fact that flows of ticket records between the probes and the collecting module 4 remain small compared to the amount of measured flows. This enables notably the supervision of large size networks, and possibly the use of the network being supervised itself for sending information between the probes 2_i and the collecting modules 4.

That reduction is achieved notably by the

fact that tickets feature a relatively small size compared to the mean size of the observed packets as this was mentioned here above, and thanks to the sampling of the measured packets, which is effective to
 5 limit the number of tickets transmitted to the collecting module 4.

That sampling consists, within one flow, and therefore after classification, in selecting the packets which will cause a ticket to be transmitted.
 10 Those tickets which are not selected are simply counted. The sampling criteria may be modified, however in order that the collecting module 4 be able to perform subsequent input/output correlations, it is important they should be common to all of the probes 2_i
 15 in the same collecting module 4. Actually, if this was not the case, the likelihood to have a ticket both at the entry and at the exit of the observation domain would be very low for the same packet, and thus the successful correlation ratio would be very low as well.
 20 Further, these criteria must be relevant to the binary contents of the packets, which constitutes the sole "absolute" information that is provided on principle.

Criteria and parameters that are possibly associated may be different for each flow. A sampling
 25 that suits each type of flow is made possible. For instance, in the case where an Internet network is used, it is possible to provide a higher sampling rate for packets conveying voice (mean compression, high accuracy), while a lower rate will be devoted to data
 30 packets (high compression, mean accuracy).

Based on packet signature analysis, one exemplary criterion can be retained : the packets, the signatures of which are multiple of a given value, will be sampled. Of course, any other appropriate
 35 arithmetical expression can be used without departing from the scope of the invention.

It is to be noted that sampling do not reduce the counting accuracy. This is equally true when packets are lost, that otherwise would have cause tickets to be issued. Actually, the counter that is associated with every ticket produced yields the total number of packets since the last sampled ticket. The only consequence is a loss of accuracy as for the precise instant at which the loss occurred and the exact identity of the packet that was lost. Both characteristics are of little usefulness a priori, thus being not much looked after. However, as the sampling characteristics are attached to a certain flow, it is always possible not to sample the flows for which detailed information are desirable. For those flows, all of the packets will cause one ticket to be issued. Moreover, as the number of measurements is lower than the number of packets, statistical laws will be applicable, that are well known as for the validity and the accuracy of the measurements which are applied to the sample thus captured.

Therefore, the method according to the invention enables to achieve flow control at the probe level in order :

- to protect the collecting module 4 against an overload : (too many tickets to be processed relatively to its own resources that are the available processing power and the memory size, ...);
- to protect the probes 2i against an overload : (too many tickets to be processed relatively to its own resources that are the available processing power and the memory size, ...) ;
- to protect the network used to transmit ticket records from the probe to the collector ;
- to adapt to changes in the capacity of the network used to transmit ticket records from the probes 2i to the collecting module 4 ;

- to enable an optimum distribution of the measurement resource between the different flows in case of congestion ;
- to optimize the pair (measurement accuracy/network load) in accordance with combined criteria, in normal operation.

To control the flow, the following functions may be used, separately or in combination :

- limitation of the overall flow through the network to a maximum value due to the transmission of ticket records from the probes 2i to the collecting module 4. That limit can, either be defined by an initial configuration, or be modulated by the collecting module 4 or by an external device operating the network ;
- limitation of the sampling rate to a maximum value. That limit can, either be defined by an initial configuration, or be provided by the collecting module 4 or by an external device operating the network. In addition, it may differ for each type of flow or flow group ;
- reduction of the sampling rate. That reduction can, either be defined locally by observing the congestion of the probes 2i, or be fixed by the collecting module 4 or by an external device operating the network. That reduction may differ for each type of flow or flow group. The reduction law must allow the collecting module 4 to correlate records which were performed by probes 2i having not the same sampling value for a given flow, the reduction being not necessarily synchronous between the probes 2i. A principle which must be retained is the inclusion one ; tickets of the "reduced" flows have to be included also in the tickets of the "lesser reduced" flows. In this way, tickets of the probe 2_i having the highest reduction factor

can always be correlated with tickets of the probe 2_i having a lower reduction coefficient ;

- modulation of the sampling rate in accordance with the local congestion state at the probe 2_i , the characteristics of the tickets brought back to the collecting module 4, and the load distribution among the different types of flow. An object of that modulation is to secure the probes 2_i operation through adaptation to instant load conditions of the different components in the system. It handles the evolution between a "bad" state corresponding to a low accuracy and a high produced traffic and an "excellent" state corresponding to a high accuracy and a low produced traffic. The evolution between the "bad" and "excellent" zones can be varied.

The main functions of the collecting module 4 are depicted in Fig. 5. The respective order of these functions can be changed according to the different requirements put on the implementation, without departing from the scope of the invention.

The formula here below are carried out by the calculation function of the collecting module 4 for a given flow F.

The notations being used are :

$D_{es}(p)$ = Transfer duration from the entry point (e) to the exit point (s) for a packet (p).

$T_e(p)$ = Ticket associated with the packet (p) by the probe at the entry point.

$T_s(p)$ = Ticket associated with the packet (p) by the probe at the exit point.

$H_e(p)$ = Time stamping in the ticket associated with the packet (p) by the probe at the entry point.

$H_s(p)$ = Time stamping in the ticket associated with the packet (p) by the probe at the exit

point.

$Ce(p)$ = Counter in the ticket associated with the packet (p) by the probe at the entry point.

$Cs(p)$ = Counter in the ticket associated with the packet (p) by the probe at the exit point.

$Ne(pq)$ = Number of packets between the passage of the packets p and q at the entry point.

$Ns(pq)$ = Number of packets between the passage of the packets p and q at the exit point.

$Pes(pq)$ = Number of packets lost between the passage of the packet p and the packet q.

The measurements of the transfer durations are carried out as follows :

For each pair of tickets ($Ts(p)$; $Te(p)$) corresponding to the same packet (p) passing through the observed network, the transfer duration $D_{es}(p)$ can deduced simply from :

$$D_{es}(p) = Hs(p) - He(p)$$

20

Counting the packets is performed as follows:

let the pairs of tickets ($Ts(p)$; $Te(p)$) and ($Ts(q)$; $Te(q)$) corresponding to the packets (p) and (q) that belong to the same flow and pass through the observed network, and such that the ticket $Ts(q)$ succeeds to the ticket $Ts(p)$ for the probe 2_i at the exit point.

The number $Ns(pq)$ of packets between the passage of the packets p and q at the exit point can be deduced simply from the definition of the counter associated with the exit ticket :

$$Ns(pq) = Cs(q)$$

The number $Ne(pq)$ of packets between the passage of the packets p and q at the entry point equals to the sum of the counters of the entry tickets as for the one associated with p (not included) and the

one associated with q (included). This enables to take into account, for instance, the case where packets are lost, that otherwise would have caused one exit ticket to be issued:

5

$$Ne\ pq = \sum_{i=p+}^{i=q} Ce\ i$$

Counting the lost packets is performed as follows:

The number $Pes(pq)$ of packets lost in the network between the pass system of the packets p and q then equals to :

$$Pes(pq) = Ne(pq) - Ns(pq)$$

As an example of the implementation of the method according to the invention, Fig. 6 shows schematically an exemplary network in which the entry probe is S_A , and the exit probe is S_B . These probes are already synchronized and have a common time reference. The sampling criterion keeps packets of which the signature equals to 0 module 16. The signature has 2 digits, while time stamping has 4 digits. Time unit is not defined.

Figures 7 to 15 depict different cases where the same packet sequence at the entry is considered, giving rise to the same ticket sequence by the probe S_A .

According to a particular embodiment of the invention as shown in Fig. 16, that is adapted to the case where the sampling rate is low, i.e. when numerous packets do not cause one ticket to be transmitted, for a given flow, time is broken down in slots, starting from the instant when an observed packet caused one last ticket to be issued. The size of the slot can be fixed locally at the probe or by the collector, and may

change according to different criteria ;

- one counter is associated with each time slot ;
- for every packet passing by that does not cause one ticket to be issued, the counter associated with the corresponding time slot when the passage occurred is incremented ;
- and for the next packet passing by that causes one ticket to be issued, the list of counters thereby obtained is attached.

That mechanism enables the collecting module 4 to obtain, by comparing between the counters provided by the probes 2_i at the entry and at the exit, a measurement of the change in transfer durations for packets flowing between packets that cause tickets to be issued and that are subjected thus to an overall measurement. It is also assumed that packets belonging to the same flow do not become a pair, which is generally the case.

The accuracy obtained has a value of about the length of the time "slot" that was retained (compromise between the number of slots - thus of counters to be flowed up - and the accuracy).

It is to be noted that this mechanism can work out well but as long as the packet loss rate is null or low for the period of time which is considered.

The main advantages of this embodiment are the following :

- refining the delay measurement : packets that did not cause one ticket to be issued still contribute to the measurement ;
- insensitivity to a rise in the number of packets observed : an increase in the number of packets observed will not actually result in an increase of the traffic flowing back from the probes 2_i to the collecting module 4.

CLAIMS

1. Non-intrusive method for measuring the loss rates and transfer durations for data in a telecommunication network in packet mode, characterized in that it comprises the following steps:

- the classification of the data packets in a homogenous flow ;
- the calculation of an identification signature for each data packet ;
- the counting of the packets in the flow ;
- the measurement of the unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group, on one hand, and measurement of the loss rate for the packets, on the other hand.

2. Method according to claim 1, characterized in that each packet is subjected to dating in accordance with an absolute time reference gained by observing the probes (2_i) distributed through the network.

3. Method according to claim 2, characterized in that one ticket comprising the packet passage time, the packet signature, and the value of a counter associated with the flow or the flow group is issued.

4. Method according to one of claims 1 to 3, characterized in that it further comprises a filtering step and a semi-static sampling step for classes obtained during the classification step, that sampling consisting in selecting the packets which will cause one ticket to be issued.

5. Method according to one of claims 1, 2 or 3, characterized in that it comprises a dynamic

sampling step with a rate which depends of the congestion conditions in the system.

6. Method according to claim 1, characterized in that each packet is classified according to its recipient characteristics or according to the type of its contents.

7. Method according to one of claims 1 to 4, characterized in that the sampling rate can, either be limited to a maximum value that is defined by an initial configuration, or be modulated by the collecting module (4) or by an external device operating the network (1).

8. Method according to one of claims 1 to 7, characterized in that, for a given flow F, the measurement of the transfer durations is carried out as follows :

$$D_{es}(p) = H_s(p) - H_e(p)$$

where

$D_{es}(p)$ = Transfer duration from the entry point (e) to the exit point (s) for a packet (p).

$H_e(p)$ = Time stamping in the ticket associated with the packet (p) by the probe at the entry point.

$H_s(p)$ = Time stamping in the ticket associated with the packet (p) by the probe at the exit point.

9. Method according to claim 8, characterized in that the step for calculating the transfer durations at different sections in the network is carried out by a mapping operation of combinations (class, date, signature) which belong to the same packet that was observed by several probes (2_i).

10. Method according to claim 8, characterized in that, for a given flow F, the number $Pes(pq)$ of packets lost in the network between the passage of the packets p and q is given by the following formulae :

$$Pes(pq) = Ne(pq) - Ns(pq)$$

where

$Ne(pq)$ = Number of packets between the passage of the packets p and q at the exit point.

$Ns(pq)$ = Number of packets between the passage of the packets p and q at the exit point.

11. Method according to claim 5, characterized in that, in the case where the sampling rate is low, time is broken down in slots, starting from the instant when an observed packet caused one last ticket to be issued, the size of the slot can be fixed locally at the probe (2_i) or by the collecting module (4), one counter is associated with each time slot, and for every packet passing by that does not cause one ticket to be issued, the counter associated with the corresponding time slot when the passage occurred is incremented, and for the next packet passing by that causes one ticket to be issued, the list of counters thereby obtained is attached.

12. System with a distributed architecture for implementing the method according to one of claims 1 to 11, said system comprising a plurality of flow observing probes (2_i) arranged in several locations in the network (1), means for compressing measurements carried out by said observing probes (2_i), and means for transmitting these measurements to a module (4) collecting measurements carried out and which is connected to storage means (5) and means (6) for

analyzing said measurements, characterized in that it further comprises means for classifying data packets in a homogenous flow, means for calculating an identification signature for each data packet, means for counting the packets in the flow, and means for measuring, on one hand, the unidirectional transfer durations per flow or information flow group, and, on the other hand, the loss rate for the packets.

Fig. 1
Fig. 2
Fig. 3
Fig. 4
Fig. 5
Fig. 6
Fig. 7
Fig. 8
Fig. 9
Fig. 10
Fig. 11
Fig. 12
Fig. 13
Fig. 14
Fig. 15
Fig. 16
Fig. 17
Fig. 18
Fig. 19
Fig. 20
Fig. 21
Fig. 22
Fig. 23
Fig. 24
Fig. 25
Fig. 26
Fig. 27
Fig. 28
Fig. 29
Fig. 30
Fig. 31
Fig. 32
Fig. 33
Fig. 34
Fig. 35
Fig. 36
Fig. 37
Fig. 38
Fig. 39
Fig. 40
Fig. 41
Fig. 42
Fig. 43
Fig. 44
Fig. 45
Fig. 46
Fig. 47
Fig. 48
Fig. 49
Fig. 50
Fig. 51
Fig. 52
Fig. 53
Fig. 54
Fig. 55
Fig. 56
Fig. 57
Fig. 58
Fig. 59
Fig. 60
Fig. 61
Fig. 62
Fig. 63
Fig. 64
Fig. 65
Fig. 66
Fig. 67
Fig. 68
Fig. 69
Fig. 70
Fig. 71
Fig. 72
Fig. 73
Fig. 74
Fig. 75
Fig. 76
Fig. 77
Fig. 78
Fig. 79
Fig. 80
Fig. 81
Fig. 82
Fig. 83
Fig. 84
Fig. 85
Fig. 86
Fig. 87
Fig. 88
Fig. 89
Fig. 90
Fig. 91
Fig. 92
Fig. 93
Fig. 94
Fig. 95
Fig. 96
Fig. 97
Fig. 98
Fig. 99
Fig. 100




FIG1




FIG 2




FIG 3




FIG 4




FIG 5




FIG 6




FIG 7




FIG 8




FIG 9




FIG 10




FIG 11




FIG 12




FIG 13




FIG 14





FIG 16

USSN 09/914,335

Practitioner's Docket No. 01-515

PATENT**COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**(ORIGINAL, DESIGN, NATIONAL STAGE OF PCT, SUPPLEMENTAL, DIVISIONAL,
CONTINUATION, OR C-I-P)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

TYPE OF DECLARATION

This declaration is of the following type:

(check one applicable item below)

- ☐ original.
☐ design.
☐ supplemental.

NOTE: If the declaration is for an International Application being filed as a divisional, continuation or continuation-in-part application, do not check next item; check appropriate one of last three items.

☒ national stage of PCT.

NOTE: If one of the following 3 items apply, then complete and also attach ADDED PAGES FOR DIVISIONAL, CONTINUATION OR C-I-P.

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d) (continued prosecution application) for use of a prior nonprovisional application declaration in the continuation or divisional application being filed on behalf of the same or fewer of the inventors named in the prior application.

- ☐ divisional.
☐ continuation.

NOTE: Where an application discloses and claims subject matter not disclosed in the prior application, or a continuation or divisional application names an inventor not named in the prior application, a continuation-in-part application must be filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) (application filing requirements — nonprovisional application).

- ☐ continuation-in-part (C-I-P).

INVENTORSHIP IDENTIFICATION

WARNING: If the inventors are each not the inventors of all the claims, an explanation of the facts, including the ownership of all the claims at the time the last claimed invention was made, should be submitted.

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name. I believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter that is claimed, and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

TITLE OF INVENTION

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MEASURING THE TRANSFER DURATIONS AND LOSS
RATES IN HIGH VOLUME TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS

(Declaration and Power of Attorney [1-1]—page 1 of 7)

SPECIFICATION IDENTIFICATION

the specification of which:

(complete (a), (b), or (c))

(a) ☐ is attached hereto.

NOTE: "The following combinations of information supplied in an oath or declaration filed on the application filing date with a specification are acceptable as minimums for identifying a specification and compliance with any one of the items below will be accepted as complying with the identification requirement of 37 CFR 1.63:

"(1) name of inventor(s), and reference to an attached specification which is both attached to the oath or declaration at the time of execution and submitted with the oath or declaration on filing;

"(2) name of inventor(s), and attorney docket number which was on the specification as filed;
or

"(3) name of inventor(s), and title which was on the specification as filed."

Notice of July 13, 1995 (1177 O.G. 60).

(b) ☐ was filed on _____, as ☐ Serial No. 0 / _____
or ☐ _____
and was amended on _____ (if applicable).

NOTE: Amendments filed after the original papers are deposited with the PTO that contain new matter are not accorded a filing date by being referred to in the declaration. Accordingly, the amendments involved are those filed with the application papers or, in the case of a supplemental declaration, are those amendments claiming matter not encompassed in the original statement of invention or claims. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.67.

NOTE: "The following combinations of information supplied in an oath or declaration filed after the filing date are acceptable as minimums for identifying a specification and compliance with any one of the items below will be accepted as complying with the identification requirement of 37 CFR 1.63:

"(A) application number (consisting of the series code and the serial number, e.g., 08/123,456);

"(B) serial number and filing date;

"(C) attorney docket number which was on the specification as filed;

"(D) title which was on the specification as filed and reference to an attached specification which is both attached to the oath or declaration at the time of execution and submitted with the oath or declaration; or

"(E) title which was on the specification as filed and accompanied by a cover letter accurately identifying the application for which it was intended by either the application number (consisting of the series code and the serial number, e.g., 08/123,456), or serial number and filing date. Absent any statement(s) to the contrary, it will be presumed that the application filed in the PTO is the application which the inventor(s) executed by signing the oath or declaration."

M.P.E.P. § 601.01(a), 7th Ed.

(c) ☒ was described and claimed in PCT International Application No. PCT/FR00/00311, filed on February 9, 2000 and as amended under PCT Article 19 on _____ (if any).

(Declaration and Power of Attorney [1-1]—page 2 of 7)

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION (37 C.F.R. § 1.67(b))*(complete the following where a supplemental declaration is being submitted)*

- ☐ I hereby declare that the subject matter of the
- ☐ attached amendment
 - ☐ amendment filed on _____

was part of my/our invention and was invented before the filing date of the original application, above-identified, for such invention.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW OF PAPERS AND DUTY OF CANDOR

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information, which is material to patentability as defined in 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56,

(also check the following items, if desired)

- ☒ and which is material to the examination of this application, namely, information where there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable Examiner would consider it important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent, and
- ☐ in compliance with this duty, there is attached an information disclosure statement, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98.

PRIORITY CLAIM (35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d))

NOTE: "The claim to priority need be in no special form and may be made by the attorney or agent if the foreign application is referred to in the oath or declaration as required by § 1.63. The claim for priority and the certified copy of the foreign application specified in 35 U.S.C. 119(b) must be filed in the case of an interference (§ 1.630), when necessary to overcome the date of a reference relied upon by the examiner, when specifically required by the examiner, and in all other situations, before the patent is granted. If the claim for priority or the certified copy of the foreign application is filed after the date the issue fee is paid, it must be accompanied by a petition requesting entry and by the fee set forth in § 1.17(i). If the certified copy is not in the English language, a translation need not be filed except in the case of interference; or when necessary to overcome the date of a reference relied upon by the examiner; or when specifically required by the examiner, in which event an English language translation must be filed together with a statement that the translation of the certified copy is accurate." 37 C.F.R. § 1.55(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §§ 119(a)-(d) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed.

(complete (d) or (e))

- (d) ☐ no such applications have been filed.
- (e) ☒ such applications have been filed as follows.

NOTE: Where item (c) is entered above and the International Application which designated the U.S. itself claimed priority check item (e), enter the details below and make the priority claim.

(Declaration and Power of Attorney [1-1]—page 3 of 7)

**PRIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATION(S) FILED WITHIN 12 MONTHS
(6 MONTHS FOR DESIGN) PRIOR TO THIS APPLICATION
AND ANY PRIORITY CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)**

COUNTRY (OR INDICATE IF PCT)	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 37 USC 119
FRANCE	99/02399	26/02/1999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES NO <input type="checkbox"/>
FRANCE	99/06115	12/05/1999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES NO <input type="checkbox"/>

CLAIM FOR BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION(S)
(34 U.S.C. § 119(e))

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

_____/_____
_____/_____
_____/_____

CLAIM FOR BENEFIT OF EARLIER US/PCT APPLICATION(S)
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 120

- ☐ The claim for the benefit of any such applications are set forth in the attached ADDED PAGES TO COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR DIVISIONAL, CONTINUATION OR CONTINUATION-IN-PART (C-I-P) APPLICATION.

(Declaration and Power of Attorney [1-1]—page 4 of 7)

**ALL FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FILED MORE THAN 12 MONTHS
(6 MONTHS FOR DESIGN) PRIOR TO THIS U.S. APPLICATION**

NOTE: If the application filed more than 12 months from the filing date of this application is a PCT filing forming the basis for this application entering the United States as (1) the national stage, or (2) a continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part, then also complete ADDED PAGES TO COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR DIVISIONAL, CONTINUATION OR C-I-P APPLICATION for benefit of the prior U.S. or PCT application(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 120.

POWER OF ATTORNEY

I hereby appoint the following practitioner(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

(list name and registration number)

Robert H. Bachman (19,374), Gregory P. LaPointe (28,395),
Barry L. Kelmachter (29,999), and George A. Coury (34,309),
all of Bachman & LaPointe, P.C., 900 Chapel Street, Suite
1201, New Haven, CT 06510-2802

(check the following item, if applicable)

- ☒ I hereby appoint the practitioner(s) associated with the Customer Number provided below to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.
- ☐ Attached, as part of this declaration and power of attorney, is the authorization of the above-named practitioner(s) to accept and follow instructions from my representative(s).

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO

- ☒ Address
Bachman & LaPointe, P.C.
900 Chapel Street, Suite 1201
New Haven, CT 06510-2802

DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:
(Name and telephone number)

Barry L. Kelmachter
(203) 777-6628 - ext. 114

- ☐ Customer Number _____

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURE(S)

NOTE: Carefully indicate the family (or last) name, as it should appear on the filing receipt and all other documents.

NOTE: Each inventor must be identified by full name, including the family name, and at least one given name without abbreviation together with any other given name or initial, and by his/her residence, post office address and country of citizenship. 37 CFR § 1.63(a)(3).

NOTE: Inventors may execute separate declarations/oaths provided each declaration/oath sets forth all the inventors. Section 1.63(a)(3) requires that a declaration/oath, *inter alia*, identify each inventor and prohibits the execution of separate declarations/oaths which each sets forth only the name of the executing inventor. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, 53,142, October 10, 1997.

Full name of sole or first inventor

Thierry

(GIVEN NAME)

(MIDDLE INITIAL OR NAME)

Grenot

FAMILY (OR LAST NAME)

Inventor's signature

Date Oct 15th, 2001

Country of Citizenship

France

Residence 1, cite Leisnier, F-92140 Clamart, FRANCE

FRX

Post Office Address (Same As Above)

Full name of second joint inventor, if any

(GIVEN NAME)

(MIDDLE INITIAL OR NAME)

FAMILY (OR LAST NAME)

Inventor's signature

Date

Country of Citizenship

Residence

Post Office Address

Full name of third joint inventor, if any

(GIVEN NAME)

(MIDDLE INITIAL OR NAME)

FAMILY (OR LAST NAME)

Inventor's signature

Date

Country of Citizenship

Residence

Post Office Address

(Declaration and Power of Attorney [1-1]—page 6 of 7)

(check proper box(es) for any of the following added page(s)
that form a part of this declaration)

- ☐ **Signature** for fourth and subsequent joint inventors. Number of pages added _____

* * *

- ☐ **Signature** by administrator(trix), executor(trix) or legal representative for deceased or incapacitated inventor. Number of pages added _____

* * *

- ☐ **Signature** for inventor who refuses to sign or cannot be reached by person authorized under 37 CFR 1.47. Number of pages added _____

* * *

- ☐ Added page for **signature** by one joint inventor on behalf of deceased inventor(s) where legal representative cannot be appointed in time. (37 CFR 1.47)

* * *

- ☐ Added pages to combined declaration and power of attorney for divisional, continuation, or continuation-in-part (C-I-P) application.

☐ Number of pages added _____

* * *

- ☐ Authorization of practitioner(s) to accept and follow instructions from representative.

* * *

(if no further pages form a part of this Declaration,
then end this Declaration with this page and check the following item)

- ☒ This declaration ends with this page.